Mergers, failed mergers and updates on the organisations.

I have trimmed the information that was on this page over the IFA - IFPA merger as it is now out of date. Please read the page below on Statutory regulation which relates to this page, and is more up to date.

This is but a snippet of the history of our trade associations and the way the leaders pull the wool over the eyes of ordinary members.

I have been taken aback by a decision taken by the IFA council to rejoin the AOC. It was hoped by many that the AOC would fall apart and that the ICM would take its place. However, this decision by the IFA council will simply resurrect an organisation that I have not a shred of respect for. The AOC includes some of the people involved in the underhand dealings over the IFA/IFPA merger plans. So I will go back to my old position of attacking all these incompetent trade organisations. From NAHA in the USA, to the CFA in Canada and to all the UK organisations, it seems none of them want to get to grips with lousy education and the con artists endemic in the trade. Instead they constantly hoodwink their members, the public and politicians that they are respectable and respected organisations.

Having just read the IFPAs three journals published to date, it is quiet obvious that this organisation have no intention of telling the truth to their members. There is no mention in the latest journal of the clashes that occurred at their Annual conference which led to several members reconsidering their support for it. Gabriel Mojay was very upset when a member had the audacity to refuse to vote for him and he made his feelings known publicly. So much for their claims to being a democratic organisation, what a joke! Some talk a lot about democracy but that is simply to pander to their cult followers.

It is very interesting that leading members of the former ISPA can get away with teaching subjects such as essential oil chemistry when they themselves have had no experience in the oils supply trade or in analytical methods specific to that trade. Of course many students seem to think that if someone declares they are a DR. that this person must be knowledgeable on what they teach. Please never ever make such assumption and ask straight questions on what your lecturers qualifications are in. Could it perhaps be their degree is in religion, or rubber technology perhaps.

Update Oct. 2002:
The IFA has issued a statement about events leading up to the planned merger which fully vindicates my earlier statements:

The IFPA and its supporters have frequently claimed that "the majority voted for a merger". The latest data from the IFA shows a very different story. Out of 1800 IFA members only 644 returned ballot papers and 605 voted in favour of exploring the possibility of a merger NOT on the actual merger as the IFPA tries to imply with its usual spin.

The IFA document also states: "at no time was the IFA council given permission to go ahead and sign papers to form a new association". Also, "at no time were members consulted on the format for the constitution of the new association".

Update 28th June:
I have had an astonishing piece of information from the UK Charity Commission where they say: "Charity trustees do not act on behalf of their membership, rather their concern is in the proper and effective administration and its property for public benefit". The reason this is astonishing, is because it means officers of any organisation can establish a new charity without any mandate from the members of the organisations they represent.
So the moral of this is - do not expect UK authorities to protect you from any abuse of power by the people you elect to leading positions. Thank goodness some people in the IFA recognised potential abuse of power and took actions to inhibit it on behalf of their membership.

As most of my readers know, I have been a strong opponent of the majority of aromatherapy organisations for many years. The reasons why are spelled out in other articles on this site.

All I ever see are attempts by a few individuals to gain power for their own purposes. The lofty statements made by the leading figures involved just look like the usual hot air commonly used in aromatherapy to fool a gullible audience. The AOC has been doing just that for years, so perhaps these people have learnt something from that crowd.

The bulk of the members of most of these organisations only join to get their insurance and take no part in running the organisation. Therefore, this leaves it wide open for clever confidence tricksters to take over organisations for their personal benefit.

People may be interested to know of what happens to those people such as myself and others who oppose attempts by a tiny clique to take the trade over. WE are called by those individuals "destructive to the trade" and "negative", and the minds of therapists, Civil Servants and others in Complementary medicine are poisoned against us.
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Statutory regulation:

For UK readers.

2014. It seems that that the idea of statutory regulation has been dropped. Instead a plethora of so called “regulatory bodies” in various complementary therapies have been established. Most of these in aromatherapy are a joke as far as setting good standards of education are concerned. Instead we have fallacious standards of conduct, etc., most of which mean nothing if no one enforces them. Establish the truths about which methods of health care really work, oh no that's just beyond these organisations! Why do that when you can set up a lousy course using “approved” teachers who have learnt most of what they know from the popular trade novels and from pseudo experts?

Update April 2006.
From the minutes of the aromatherapy regulation committees meetings in 2006, it is interesting to note that the various interests continue chasing their tails round in circles over an utterly useless endeavour. Everything is still on track to try and register around 30,000 people practising some form of aromatherapy in the UK. Registration will do absolutely nothing to protect the public from badly trained therapists. The whole enterprise is aimed at keeping politicians and their moronic Civil servants happy, as well as keeping some people with bad track records of dishonesty well entrenched and funded at the head of trade organisations.

At the beginning of April 2006, a media investigation of the UK nurses registration organisation showed that they only checked a handful of nurses to see if they complied with the rules, yet they register hundreds of thousands! So much for registration protecting the public - HOGWASH. Registration has never protected the public when it is administered by trade run organisations and that includes doctors, lawyers and even our politicians. Don't be fooled by morons in the media and politics who demand these crazy money wasting concepts, we have mountains of laws to protect the public and prosecute offenders, but enforcement has always been almost none existent.
As an example of the incompetence of these standard setting organisations, the NOC standards 'March 2005' still include benzoin on their list of oils to be taught. There is no such thing as oil of benzoin and it is a sensitising agent. So these groups are supposed to be protecting the public from badly trained therapists are they? Perhaps the people setting the standards need to upgrade their knowledge from sources other than trade novels!

The joke of trade committees advising on how to set standards continues. I decided to leave the committee working on statutory regulation alone for a while to see how their efforts worked out. However, now, some of their leading members represent businesses who deliberately flouted medicines control regulations for years. One company over a period of years were the subject of at least 3 separate complaints to the Medicines Control Agency. While everyone else was complying with the regulations, they carried on publishing illegal medicinal claims on their websites. Not only were the medicinal claims illegal, they were classic aromatherapy nonsense proving they had not undertaken any significant research before extracting fees from their students.

Another member of that committee is responsible for huge blunders in information provision mentioned in an old article 'Aromatherapy Misinformation'. The same person published illegal medicinal claims in her literature as well as simply outrageous medicinal claims in respect of serious disease processes, also reported to the MCA.

Others in this committee are responsible for a string of trade misdeeds some of which are mentioned in other old articles of mine.

These same people are now advisers on how to regulate the trade - wow now isn't that a clever piece of self-marketing!!!! What a terrible indictment of the lousy moral standards in the aromatherapy business world.

The facts are that most of these trade committees are used as a mechanism for unscrupulous con artists to gain influential positions. They then use their membership as a lever to make students (particularly overseas) think they are highly regarded in the trade. Regretfully, the few honest people serving on them seem unwilling to put in place procedures enabling them to kick the trades rogues out.

After a couple of years or more working towards statutory regulation, a Government spokesperson has said "they have no plans for statutory regulation of aromatherapy". So thousands of Pounds of the funds of various organisations has been spent chasing phantoms. At long last it looks as if many of the Civil Servants responsible for promoting educational committee fiascos are due for redundancy.

Original article.

The latest buzzwords being used to confuse therapists and fool the public.

This regulation mechanism is simply something to keep politicians and civil servants happy. The Civil Servants and Ministers involved in putting this together have not the first clue about how the respective trades operate.

The system is supposed to help prevent the public being exposed to rogue practitioners, but in reality the whole concept is a vast waste of efforts and funds. Only one thing has ever restricted rogues in any profession and that is the normal laws of the land. These are already there, but enforcement authorities are lax in the extreme in enforcing them. The medical profession themselves have historically been appallingly lax in dealing with their own fraudsters. The legal Ombudsman has even said that she needs more powers as the UK legal profession are not policing themselves properly.

Self policing rarely works in any trade. That is the fundamental mistake made by all recent Governments. The UK is more riddled with fraudulent businesses and individuals than any
time in our history. All Government departments have completely failed to tackle this major
and fast growing problem.

The UK Osteopaths scheme (who are now in theory statutorily regulated) has fallen apart at the
seams. No one has been prosecuted for calling themselves an Osteopath but not being registered
by the respective body.

**The heart of the problem is that Civil Servants** just assume such organisations are respectable
and represent the majority of people in the respective trades and they **do not**. Most aromatherapy
training is still based around the books of the popular trade novelists. **This fairytale training is
endorsed by the UK Department of Education via the numerous Further Education Colleges
and even some University courses!**

There is no way I would wish to be registered by any UK aromatherapy or Herbal organisation. I
know that there are other practitioners who will likewise refuse to register with the plethora of
money wasting and incompetent UK complementary therapies organisations.

**Statutory regulation would do nothing to improve education.** You would simply get an existing
appallingly bad aromatherapy education structure given a rubber stamp.

Such regulation would not prevent a member of the public in the UK consulting someone not within
the regulatory framework. To do that the Government would have to remove the common law right
we have in this country to consult whoever we like about our health.

Statutory regulation does **not** address the fundamental problems of how to improve standards of
education and practice. Neither does it protect the public from ill-trained therapists. Instead there
will be lots of time wasting meetings with funds coming out of aromatherapy organisations budgets,
i.e. out of your pocket, or from charitable funding organisations who would do far better investing
their money in proper research.

So ask yourself:

Are the high costs involved in pushing for statutory regulation justified?

What as a therapist will you gain?

What do the public gain?

In my view not a lot, it is just another way of certain organisations and individuals trying to get one
up on the rest. These people really do not care that most aromatherapists are lucky to make a
liveable wage, yet they continue to squander your membership fees on unproductive projects for
their own self-esteem.

**Back to top**
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